



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/733,823	12/11/2003	Kelly Cameron	51318/RJP/B600	2171	
23363	23363 7590 09/06/2005			EXAMINER	
•	PARKER & HAL	TORRES, JOSEPH D			
PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2133		

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/733,823	CAMERON, KELLY		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Joseph D. Torres	2133		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Openiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMI 136(a). In no event, however, will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. some ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A	August 2005.			
		s action is non-final.			
·	Since this application is in condition for allowa		matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
•	closed in accordance with the practice under				
lienoeiti			,		
_	on of Claims				
	Claim(s) 2-7 and 9-17 is/are pending in the ap	•			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-6 and 13-17</u> is/are	withdrawn from cons	sideration.		
	Claim(s) 7,11 and 12 is/are allowed.				
·	Claim(s) 9 is/are rejected.				
-	im(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to.				
اـــاره	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requireme	nt.		
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 December 2003</u> is/a		r b) objected to by the Examiner		
•—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	•				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		_		
	application from the International Burea	` ' '			
~ &	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copie	s not received.		
Attachmen					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		view Summary (PTO-413)		
) 🔲 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲 Noti	er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:		
Patent and Tr OL-326 (R	ademark Office ev. 7-05) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050901		

Application/Control Number: 10/733,823

Art Unit: 2133

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 7-12 in the reply filed on 06/30/2005 is acknowledged.

Claims 2-6 and 13-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 06/30/2005.

Claim Objections

- 2. In view of the amendment filed 08/05/2005, the Examiner withdraws all objections to the claims.
- 3. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 10 depends from a cancelled claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments regarding 112 issues filed 08/05/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2133

The Applicant contends, "However, one reasonably skilled in the art will appreciate that in a plurality of programming languages, often referred to as assignment-based languages, variables are storage devices".

The Examiner asserts that while that may be true, variables still have separate use as abstract mathematical representations and while ordinarily the Applicant's remarks would suffice to clarify the issues surrounding the Applicant's usage of the term variable, because claim 7 recites, "a plurality of discrepancy value storage devices being adapted to store discrepancy values", using the term "discrepancy variable" to mean discrepancy value storage device creates confusion. Are the discrepancy variables in claim 9 the same as the "discrepancy value storage devices" in claim 7? If so, why is it necessary to use different descriptive language for the terms? If not, how do the "discrepancy value storage devices" in claim 7 distinguish themselves from the discrepancy variables in claim 9?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

See the Non-Final Action filed 07/14/2005 for detailed action of prior rejections.

Art Unit: 2133

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7, 11 and 12 are allowed.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Torres whose telephone number is (571) 272-3829. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9187 (toll-free).

Joseph D. Torres, PhD **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2133